UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,013	06/16/2005	Michael Francis McDonald	2003B133E 7115	
	7590 04/07/200 L CHEMICAL COMP	EXAMINER		
5200 BAYWAY		HARLAN, ROBERT D		
P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, T.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/539,01	3	MCDONALD ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Robert D.	Harlan	1796					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>(</u>	04 January 200:	2						
•			=						
′=	, 								
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	closed in accordance with the practice unc	aci Ex parte Qu	ayıc, 1999 O.D. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election re	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□		objected to by the	Examiner.					
. ७/二	Applicant may not request that any objection to		-						
		= -	-		ER 1 121(d)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
The path of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of form FTO-192.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/539,013 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-15 and 18-29 remain rejected under 35

 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Konig et al., U.S. Patent

 No. 4,501,865 (hereinafter "Konig"). Konig teaches a

 polymerization method comprising a hydrocarbon diluent in a

 stirred reactor where the polymerization medium is evaporated

 during polymerization. See Konig, col. 2, line 49 through col.

 5, line 68. Thus, Konig anticipates claims 1-5, 7-15 and 18-29.

 The Examiner disagrees the Applicant assert that a heterogeneous

 mixture is a multi-phase polymerization medium.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,013 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v**. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 6, 16-17 and 30-60 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Konig in view of Maeda et al., EP 0 713 883. Konig differs from the present invention in that the present inventions requires Lewis acid, di-olefin monomer, and temperature and pressure conditions. Maeda teaches, in analogous art, a cationic polymerization process for obtaining

Art Unit: 1796

an isobutene polymer having a specified structure in a specific solvent system under industrially favorable conditions. Maeda also cures the deficiencies found in Koning teaching the use of a Lewis acid, di-olefin monomer, and temperature and pressure conditions. See Maeda, pages 3-6 and Examples.

- 6. In view of Maeda, one having an ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Konig by using an Lewis acid, diolefin monomer, and temperature and pressure conditions because both references are direct to cationic polymerization processes using diolefins. Such modification would be obvious because one would expect that the use of polymers prepared by cationic polymerization as taught by Konig would be similarly useful and applicable to the polymerization processes taught in Konig.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

Art Unit: 1796

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Application/Control Number: 10/539,013 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert D. Harlan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

rdh